

on the floor last year. They've had all the interim time to look at it, so he could have looked at these bills. Three days of committee hearings this year. They have been studied by the people that he's talking about. I would say that with the knowledge that these bills have had all this observation and have had all this time to be amended, to be looked over, that we advance LB 40 to E & R initial.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Senator Chambers, he was closing. Did you have a.... For what purpose? Alright. Thank you Senator Chambers. The motion is the advancement of LB 40 to E & R initial. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record the vote.

ASSISTANT CLERK: 25 ayes, 4 nays on the advancement of the bill.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: LB 40 is advanced to E & R initial. LB 41.

ASSISTANT CLERK: Read title to LB 41.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Chair recognizes Senator Barnett.

SENATOR BARNETT: I'd move for the advancement of LB 41. LB 41, if you look in the front of the book, this is to bring the rules of the road and other isolated sections into conformity with the penalty sections of LB 38. The first part of it is basically a catchall bill. It is the rule of the road, and the first part of Section 39 deals with the rule of road which was of the recent LB 45. LB 39 is the rule of the road. Recent revisions on the bottom sections are brought into compliance. The part that was missed on 38 and 39 when they reviewed them again through the bill drafters, through the revisors, through everybody involved with the Code. LB 41 was used to bring everything up to date with the penalty sections of LB 38. I think this one is about like the 39 and 40 as far as the penalty sections as you look through them. Instead of a minor amount of money and a greater amount, they put it into the classes with misdemeanors and felonies, mostly misdemeanors in this one. I'd ask for the advancement of LB 41. I know of no amendments on this one, or to it, or anywhere around it.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Chair recognizes Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Members of the Legislature, I'm not going to ask anymore questions. I'm going to tell you some things. When you look at LB 38 you are dealing with a crime itself and the penalty that the Legislature decided should be attached to that crime, and there were debates as to whether certain penalties fit. I tell you that Senator Barnett, after being with these bills six years or however many years, does not understand these bills, or else he is deliberately deceiving the Legislature. Now when you look at a Class V misdemeanor in LB 38 there is no minimum set. If you take LB 41, and this is just at random, on page 4 you'll see for some kind of violation it's called the Class V misdemeanor, which means no minimum. But according to the current law they shall be fined not less than \$25, nor more than \$100. So there are minimums in these provisions of statute that you are allowing to be changed without even looking at the crime or the infraction involved. Maybe the attitude of the Legislature is that